

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,730	02/08/2001	Hong-Sam Kim	P56295	6891
75	90 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert E. Bushnell			LIPMAN, JACOB	
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1522 K Street, N.W.			2134	
Washington, DC 20005-1202			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				au		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/778,730	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacob Lipman	2134			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	<u>arch 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,7-12,16-18,20 and 22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1,7-12,20 and 22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	` '		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P1O-152	<u>2</u> .		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	Hel					
_	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic Notic Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori, US Patent number 4,754,268, in view of Rathbone.

With regard to claim 16, Rathbone discloses a screen saver (page 167 paragraph 7), which will be revived if a signal from a mouse is received, but does not disclose the mouse is wireless, and only works if sent data matches corresponding data in the computer. Mori discloses a wireless mouse (column 1 lines 48-54) that sends a frequency that only operates computers with matching frequency (column 1 line 58-column 2 line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Mori's wireless mouse in Rathbone's description of Microsoft Windows 95, for Mori's stated motivation to make the mouse more convenient to use (column 1 lines 20-47).

With regard to claim 17, Mori discloses that a mouse controls a computer (column 2 line 66-column 3 line 4).

With regard to claim 18, Rathbone discloses that once out of screen saver, the user is prompted for a password (page 168 paragraph 3).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/778,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

2. Applicant's arguments filed 08 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Mori's frequency does not read on the claimed security code, because any person can go to Mori's computer keyboard and merely touch the keyboard to wake the computer. This limitation of preventing a user from touching the keyboard to wake a computer is not claimed in claims 16-18.

3. Applicant states that a new dependant claim, 26, has been added, and that claims 23-29 are pending. No new claims were found.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 7-12, 20 and 22 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7 AM-3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL